UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
KENNETH CARNESI,	
Petitioner,	<u>ORDER</u> 11-CV-1044(ADS)
-against-	11 2 (1011(1100)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent. -----X

APPEARANCES:

KENNETH CARNESI

Pro se Petitioner 12 Hamilton Place, Apt. C4 Garden City, NY 11530

LORETTA E. LYNCH UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Attorneys for the Respondent 610 Federal Plaza Central Islip, N.Y. 11722

By: Burton T. Ryan, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney

SPATT, District Judge:

Petitioner brings this petition for a writ of error coram nobis pursuant to the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, challenging an order of restitution imposed by the Court on October 14, 2005. The Petitioner claims he is entitled to coram nobis relief because restitution was imposed in violation of the procedures set forth in the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act ("MVRA"), 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a); specifically, failure to identify victims and their respective actual amounts of loss.

In opposition, the Government states:

. . . [A]ffidavits of loss were mailed to victims identified by the government and copies submitted to the Probation Department.

Case 2:11-cv-01044-ADS Document 9 Filed 07/24/12 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 68

Though that procedure was not done until after the petitioners sentence the information came years before any restitution was

due. . . . [B]ecause at most only harmless error has occurred due to

the government not identifying the victims within the MVRA's time frame, Carnesi cannot meet the burden of demonstrating

fundamental error to warrant coram nobis relief.

(Gov.'s Opp. at 4 (Aug. 26, 2011)).

Having reviewed said petition in accordance with both 28 U.S.C. § 1651, the All Writs

Act, and the procedures set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3663, the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act,

the Court determines that further information is required before it can address the merits of the

petition. As a result, it is hereby,

ORDERED, that the Government shall submit to the Court under seal on or before July

30, 2012 a copy of the correspondence and any accompanying documents that the Government

provided to the Probation Department identifying the victims and their respective amounts of

loss in compliance with the MVRA.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York

July 24, 2012

_/s/ Arthur D. Spatt__

ARTHUR D. SPATT

United States District Judge

2